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## Shredded Book Reconstruction

- Dickens accidentally shreds the first printing of A Tale of Two Cities
- Text printed on 5 long spools




| It was | t thessbldse be.simoestinite | wass and lweonstrof tintesses | it was the age of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



- How can he reconstruct the text?
- 5 copies $\times 138,656$ words $/ 5$ words per fragment $=138 \mathrm{k}$ fragments
- The short fragments from every copy are mixed together
- Some fragments are identical

It was the best of
age of wisdom, it was
best of times, it was
it was the age of
it was the age of
it was the worst of
of times, it was the
of times, it was the
of wisdom, it was the
the age of wisdom, it
the best of times, it
the worst of times, it
times, it was the age
times, it was the worst
was the age of wisdom,
was the age of foolishness,
was the best of times,
was the worst of times,
wisdom, it was the age
worst of times, it was

## Greedy Reconstruction

```
It was the best of
|was the best of times,
times, it was the worst
times, it was the age
```

The repeated sequence make the correct reconstruction ambiguous

- It was the best of times, it was the [worst/age]

Model the assembly problem as a graph problem

## de Bruijn Graph Construction

- $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$
- $V=$ All length- $k$ subfragments $(k<l)$
- $E=$ Directed edges between consecutive subfragments
- Nodes overlap by k-I words

Original Fragment

It was the best of

Directed Edge

- Locally constructed graph reveals the global sequence structure
- Overlaps between sequences implicitly computed
de Bruijn, 1946
Idury and Waterman, 1995
Pevzner, Tang, Waterman, 2001



## de Bruijn Graph Assembly



## Milestones in Genome Assembly


1977. Sanger et al. ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Complete Organism 5375 bp

2000. Myers et al.
${ }^{\text {st }}$ Large WGS Assembly.
Celera Assembler. I 16 Mbp

1995. Fleischmann et al.
$\|^{\text {st }}$ Free Living Organism TIGR Assembler. I.8Mbp


200I.Venter et al., IHGSC Human Genome
Celera Assembler/GigaAssembler. 2.9 Gbp

1998. C.elegans SC ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Multicellular Organism BAC-by-BAC Phrap. 97Mbp

2010. Li et al.
${ }^{\text {st }}$ Large SGS Assembly.
SOAPdenovo 2.2 Gbp

Like Dickens, we must computationally reconstruct a genome from short fragments

## Assembly Applications

- Novel genomes

- Metagenomes

- Sequencing assays
- Structural variations
- Transcript assembly



## Assembling a Genome

I. Shear \& Sequence DNA

2. Construct assembly graph from overlapping reads

GGATGCGCGACACGTCGCATATCCGGT...
3. Simplify assembly graph

4. Detangle graph with long reads, mates, and other links


## Why are genomes hard to assemble?

## I. Biological:

- (Very) High ploidy, heterozygosity, repeat content

2. Sequencing:

- (Very) large genomes, imperfect sequencing

3. Computational:

- (Very) Large genomes, complex structure

4. Accuracy:

- (Very) Hard to assess correctness


## Ingredients for a good assembly



High coverage is required

- Oversample the genome to ensure every base is sequenced with long overlaps between reads
- Biased coverage will also fragment assembly


Reads \& mates must be longer than the repeats

- Short reads will have false overlaps forming hairball assembly graphs
- With long enough reads, assemble entire chromosomes into contigs


## Quality



## Errors obscure overlaps

- Reads are assembled by finding kmers shared in pair of reads
- High error rate requires very short seeds, increasing complexity and forming assembly hairballs

Current challenges in de novo plant genome sequencing and assembly Schatz MC,Witkowski, McCombie,WR (20I2) Genome Biology. I2:243

## Illumina Sequencing by Synthesis



1. Prepare
2. Attach

3. Amplify

4. Image

5. Basecall

Metzker (2010) Nature Reviews Genetics II:3I-46

## Paired-end and Mate-pairs

## Paired-end sequencing

- Read one end of the molecule, flip, and read the other end
- Generate pair of reads separated by up to 500bp with inward orientation 300bp


## Mate-pair sequencing

- Circularize long molecules (I-IOkbp), shear into fragments, \& sequence
- Mate failures create short paired-end reads

10kbp


2×100 @ ~10kbp (outies)


2x100 @ 300bp (innies)

## Typical contig coverage



Imagine raindrops on a sidewalk

## Balls in Bins Ix

Balls in Bins


Total balls: 1000


## Balls in Bins $2 x$



Balls in Bins
bals in in
Total balls: 2000


## Balls in Bins 3x



Balls in Bins
Total balls: 3000


## Balls in Bins 4x



Balls in Bins
bals in in
Total balls: 4000


## Balls in Bins 5x



Balls in Bins
Tetal balls: 5000


## Balls in Bins 6x



Balls in Bins
Total balls: 6000


## Balls in Bins 7x



Balls in Bins
Total balls: 7000


## Balls in Bins $8 x$



Balls in Bins
Total balls: 8000


## Coverage and Read Length

Idealized Lander-Waterman model

- Reads start at perfectly random positions
- Contig length is a function of coverage and read length
- Short reads require much higher coverage to reach same expected contig length
- Need even high coverage for higher ploidy, sequencing errors, sequencing biases
- Recommend I00x coverage

Lander Waterman Expected Contig Length vs Coverage


Assembly of Large Genomes using Second Generation Sequencing Schatz MC, Delcher AL, Salzberg SL (20I0) Genome Research. 20:1165-II73.

## Two Paradigms for Assembly



Assembly of Large Genomes using Second Generation Sequencing Schatz MC, Delcher AL, Salzberg SL (20I0) Genome Research. 20:I I65-II73.

## Errors

## Unitigging / Unipathing

- After simplification and correction, compress graph down to its non-branching initial contigs
- Aka "unitigs","unipaths"
- Unitigs end because of (I) lack of coverage, (2) errors, and (3) repeats



## Errors in the graph


(Chaisson, 2009)


## Repars Repeats and Read Length



- Explore the relationship between read length and contig N50 size
- Idealized assembly of read lengths: $25,35,50,100,250,500,1000$
- Contig/Read length relationship depends on specific repeat composition

Assembly Complexity of Prokaryotic Genomes using Short Reads. Kingsford C, Schatz MC, Pop M (20I0) BMC Bioinformatics. II:2I.

## Repetitive regions

| Repeat Type | Definition / Example | Prevalence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Low-complexity DNA / Microsatellites | $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2} \ldots \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{\mathrm{N}}$ where $\mathrm{I} \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 6$ <br> CACACACACACACACACACA | $2 \%$ |
| SINEs (Short Interspersed Nuclear <br> Elements) | Alu sequence $(\sim 280 \mathrm{bp})$ <br> Mariner elements $(\sim 80 \mathrm{bp})$ | $13 \%$ |
| LINEs (Long Interspersed Nuclear <br> Elements) | $\sim 500-5,000 \mathrm{bp}$ | $21 \%$ |
| LTR (long terminal repeat) <br> retrotransposons | Tyl-copia,Ty3-gypsy, Pao-BEL <br> $(\sim 100-5,000 \mathrm{bp})$ | $8 \%$ |
| Other DNA transposons | $3 \%$ |  |
| Gene families \& segmental duplications |  | $4 \%$ |

- Over $50 \%$ of mammalian genomes are repetitive
- Large plant genomes tend to be even worse
- Wheat: 16 Gbp; Pine: 24 Gbp


## Repeats and Coverage Statistics



- If $n$ reads are a uniform random sample of the genome of length $G$, we expect $k=n \Delta / G$ reads to start in a region of length $\Delta$.
- If we see many more reads than $k$ (if the arrival rate is $>A$ ), it is likely to be a collapsed repeat
- Requires an accurate genome size estimate
$\operatorname{Pr}(X-$ copy $)=\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{X \Delta}{G}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{G-X \Delta}{G}\right)^{n-k}$

$$
A(\Delta, k)=\ln \left(\frac{\operatorname{Pr}(1-\text { copy })}{\operatorname{Pr}(2-\text { copy })}\right)=\ln \left(\frac{\frac{(\Delta n / G)^{k}}{k!} e^{\frac{-\Delta n}{G}}}{\frac{(2 \Delta n / G)^{k}}{k!} e^{\frac{-2 \Delta n}{G}}}\right)=\frac{n \Delta}{G}-k \ln 2
$$

## Scaffolding

- Initial contigs (aka unipaths, unitigs) terminate at
- Coverage gaps: especially extreme GC regions
- Conflicts: sequencing errors, repeat boundaries
- Iteratively resolve longest, 'most unique' contigs
- Both overlap graph and de Bruijn assemblers initially collapse repeats into single copies
- Uniqueness measured by a statistical test on coverage



## N50 size

Def: $50 \%$ of the genome is in contigs larger than N50

Example: I Mbp genome 50\%


N50 size $=30 \mathrm{kbp}$
$(300 k+100 k+45 k+45 k+30 k=520 k>=500 k b p)$
Note:
N50 values are only meaningful to compare when base genome size is the same in all cases

## Break



## Assembly Algorithms

| ALLPATHS-LG | SOAPdenovo | Celera Assembler |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Broad's assembler <br> (Gnerre et al. 201 I) |  <br> BGI's assembler (Li et al. 20IO) |  <br> JCVI's assembler <br> (Miller et al. 2008) |
| De bruijn graph <br> Short + PacBio (patching) | De bruijn graph Short reads | Overlap graph <br> Medium + Long reads |
| Easy to run if you have compatible libraries | Most flexible, but requires a lot of tuning | Supports Illumina/454/PacBio Hybrid assemblies |
| http://www.broadinstitute.org/ software/allpaths-Ig/blog/ | http://soap.genomics.org.cn/ soapdenovo.htm | http://wgs-assembler.sf.net |



Genome assembly with ALLPATHS-LG lain MacCallum

## How ALLPATHS-LG works

## reads



## ALLPATHS-LG sequencing model

| Libraries <br> (insert types) | Fragment <br> size (bp) | Read length <br> (bases) | Sequence <br> coverage ( $\mathbf{( x )}$ | Required |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fragment | $180^{*}$ | $\geq 100$ | 45 | yes |
| Short jump | 3,000 | $\geq 100$ preferable | 45 | yes |
| Long jump | 6,000 | $\geq 100$ preferable | 5 | no $^{* *}$ |
| Fosmid jump | 40,000 | $\geq 26$ | 1 | no $^{* *}$ |

*See next slide.
**For best results. Normally not used for small genomes. However essential to assemble long repeats or duplications.

Cutting coverage in half still works, with some reduction in quality of results.

All: protocols are either available, or in progress.

## Error correction

Given a crystal ball, we could stack reads on the chromosomes they came from (with homologous chromosomes separate), then let each column 'vote':


But we don't have a crystal ball....

## Error correction

ALLPATHS-LG. For every K-mer, examine the stack of all reads containing the K-mer. Individual reads may be edited if they differ from the overwhelming consensus of the stack. If a given base on a read receives conflicting votes (arising from membership of the read in multiple stacks), it is not changed. ( $\mathrm{K}=24$ )

columns inside the kmer are homogeneous
Two calls at Q20 or better are enough to protect a base

## Read doubling

To close a read pair (red), we require the existence of another read pair (blue), overlapping perfectly like this:


More than one closure allowed (but rare).

## Unipaths

Unipath: unbranched part of genome - squeeze together perfect repeats of size $\geq \mathrm{K}$


Adjacent unipaths overlap by K-1 bases

## Localization

I. Find 'seed' unipaths, evenly spaced across genome (ideally long, of copy number $\mathrm{CN}=1$ )
II. Form neighborhood around each seed

and are extended by other unipaths

Create assembly from global assembly graph


## Large genome recipe: ALLPATHS-LG vs capillary




Genome assembly with SOAPdenovo

## Short Read Assembly



- Genome assembly as finding an Eulerian tour of the de Bruijn graph
- Human genome: >3B nodes, > IOB edges
- The new short read assemblers require tremendous computation
- Velvet (Zerbino \& Birney, 2008) serial: > 2TB of RAM
- ABySS (Simpson et al., 2009) MPI: 168 cores x ~96 hours
- SOAPdenovo (Li et al., 20I0) pthreads: 40 cores $\times 40$ hours, > 140 GB RAM


## Error Correction with Quake

I. Count all "Q-mers" in reads

- Fit coverage distribution to mixture model of errors and regular coverage
- Automatically determines threshold for trusted k-mers



## 2. Correction Algorithm

- Considers editing erroneous kmers into trusted kmers in decreasing likelihood
- Includes quality values, nucleotide/nucleotide substitution rate


Quake: quality-aware detection and correction of sequencing reads. Kelley, DR, Schatz, MC, Salzberg SL (2010) Genome Biology. I I:R I I6

## Illumina Sequencing \& Assembly

Quake Results


| Validated | $5 I, 243,28 I$ | $88.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Corrected | $2,763,380$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Trim Only | $3,273,428$ | $5.6 \%$ |
| Removed | $606,25 I$ | $1.0 \%$ |

SOAPdenovo Results


|  | $\# \geq 100 \mathrm{bp}$ | N50 (bp) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Scaffolds | 2,340 | 253,186 |
| Contigs | 2,782 | 56,374 |
| Unitigs | 4,151 | 20,772 |

Genome assembly with the Celera Assembler

## Celera Assembler

## http://wgs-assembler.sf.net

I. Pre-overlap

- Consistency checks

2. Trimming

- Quality trimming \& partial overlaps

3. Compute Overlaps

- Find high quality overlaps

4. Error Correction

- Evaluate difference in context of overlapping reads

5. Unitigging

- Merge consistent reads

6. Scaffolding

- Bundle mates, Order \& Orient

7. Finalize Data

- Build final consensus sequences



## Hybrid Sequencing



Illumina
Sequencing by Synthesis
High throughput ( $60 \mathrm{Gbp} /$ day) High accuracy (~99\%)
Short reads (~100bp)


Pacific Biosciences
SMRT Sequencing
Lower throughput (600Mbp/day)
Lower accuracy ( $\sim 85 \%$ )
Long reads (2-5kbp+)

## SMRT Sequencing Data

## Yeast (Pre-release Chemistry / 2010) <br> 65 SMRT cells <br> 734,151 reads after filtering <br> Mean: 642.3 +/- 587.3 <br> Median: 553 Max: 8,495


ttgtanglagttganaictatgtgtggatttagantanagancatganag
 tTGTAAGCAGTTGAAAACTATGTGT-GATTTAG-ATAAAGAACATGGAAG

Attataial Cagttgatccatt-AgAAgA-AAACGCAAAAGGCGGCTAGg
 A-TATAAATCAGTTGATCCATTAAGAA-AGAAACGC-AAAGGC-GCTAGG

CAACCTTGAATGTAATCGCACTTGAAGAACAAGATTTTATTCCGCGCCCG
 C-ACCTTG-ATGT-AT--CACTTGAAGAACAAGATTTTATTCCGCGCCCG TAACGAATCAAGATTCTGAAAACACAT-ATAACAACCTCCAAAA-CACAA
 T-ACGAATC-AGATTCTGAAAACA-ATGAT----ACCTCCAAAAGCACAA
-AGGAGGGGAAAGGGGGGAATATCT-ATAAAAGATTACAAATTAGA-TGA
 GAGGAGG---AA-----GAATATCTGAT-AAAGATTACAAATT-GAGTGA АСТ-ААТТСАСААТА-ААТААСАСТTTTA-ACAGAATTGAT-GGAA-GTT
 ACTAAATTCACAA-ATAATAACACTTTTAGACAAAATTGATGGGAAGGTT

TCGGAGAGATCCAAAACAATGGGC-ATCGCCTTTGA-GTTAC-AATCAAA
 TC-GAGAGATCC-AAACAAT-GGCGATCG-CTTTGACGTTACAAATCAAA ATCCAGTGGAAAATATAATTTATGCAATCCAGGAACTTATTCACAATTAG ||||||| ||||||||| |||||| ||||| ||||||||||||||||| ATCCAGT-GAAAATATA--TTATGC-ATCCA-GAACTTATTCACAATTAG

Sample of 100 k reads aligned with BLASR requiring $>100 \mathrm{bp}$ alignment Average overall accuracy: 83.7\%, II .5\% insertions, $3.4 \%$ deletions, I.4\% mismatch

## PacBio Error Correction

http://wgs-assembler.sf.net
I. Correction Pipeline
I. Map short reads to long reads
2. Trim long reads at coverage gaps
3. Compute consensus for each long read

2. Error corrected reads can be easily assembled, aligned


Hybrid error correction and de novo assembly of single-molecule sequencing reads. Koren, S, Schatz, MC, et al. (2012) Nature Biotechnology. doi:I0.I038/nbt. 2280

## Error Correction Results



Correction results of $20 \times$ PacBio coverage of E. coli KI2 corrected using 50x Illumina

## SMRT-Assembly Results



| Orgarivn | Tectrology | Melfrnace bp | Axembly bp | EConigs | Mas Contig Lengeh | Nso |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Limbla NE83011 | Thamina 100× 2006 | 48500 | 48492 | 1 | $48.492 / 48492$ | 48492743492 (100\%) * |
| (medarc 727 max: 3280 ) | PacBio Pres 28X |  | 48440 | $t$ | 45,444/48444 | $48444 f 45450$ (100\% $)$ * |
| E.cov K12 | Thanins 100X 500\%p | 4679675 | 4462896 | 61 | $221615 / 221583$ |  |
| (modarx 747 mas: 3068 ) | Pactio Prose 18 X |  | 4465513 | 77 | $290058 / 218225$ | 71479 / 68.309 (95.57\%) * |
|  | Boch ISX PawBio PBCR + Iharine 508 5006p |  | 4576046 | 65 | 2382727238224 | 93058 (39.431 (96.11\%) * |
| E codiczat-11 | Pacaio Ccs 50 X | 5 504 407 | 4517717 | 76 | 249515 | 100322 |
| (modarc 1217 mas: 14901) | Paction 25x. Plick (forrecxed by 25x CCS) |  | 5307946 | m | 35724 | 98774 |
|  | Both PacBio PBicr $25 \mathrm{X}+\mathrm{CCS} 25 \mathrm{X}$ |  | 5769148 | 39 | 647362 | 227300 |
|  | PacBio ScK PBCR (comsord by 50x CCS) |  | 5445466 | 35 | 1006007 | 376443 |
|  | Both PacBio PBCR S0X $+\operatorname{CCS} 25 \mathrm{X}$ |  | 5453458 | 33 | 1167060 | 527198 |
|  | Manualy Corrocind ALLIORA Aswernly ${ }^{3}$ |  | 5452251 | 29 | 653362 | 462941 |
| 5 cenerisioe S228c | Themina 100x 3006p | 12157108 | 1104156 | 192 | $256528 / 227714$ | 73871 (49254(6658\%) * |
| (encliane 674 mate: 5994) | PacBio PBer 13 X |  | 11110430 | 224 | 224 478/217705 | $62888 / 54633$ ( $66.86 \%$ ) * |
|  | Both PacBio PBcR 13X + Ilharina 500 3006p |  | 11286932 | 177 | $262846 / 260794$ | $82543 / 59792(72.44 \%) *$ |
| Mriopuisaras andularer | Thamins 190x (220\%00900 paired end 2s/10Kb maxe pairs) | 123 Gbp | 1023512850 | 28181 | 1050200 | 4738 |
|  | 45415.4 X (FI. X + RLX Ples + M8/20Khy puires endsy |  | 999168009 | 16574 | 751729 | 75178 |
| (modian 997, mas 13009 | 454 15.4X + FacBio PBirR 3.75X |  | 1071386415 | 1508 t | 1278843 | 99575 |

Hybrid assembly results using error corrected PacBio reads Meets or beats Illumina-only or 454-only assembly in every case

## Improved Gene Reconstruction



FOXP2 assembled on a single contig

## Transcript Alignment



- Long-read single-molecule sequencing has potential to directly sequence full length transcripts
- Raw reads and raw alignments (red) have many spurious indels inducing false frameshifts and other artifacts
- Error corrected reads almost perfectly match the genome, pinpointing splice sites, identifying alternative splicing
- New collaboration with Gingeras Lab looking at splicing in human


## PacBio Technology Roadmap



Internal Roadmap has made steady progress towards improving read length and throughput

Very recent improvements:
I. Improved enzyme:

Maintains reactions longer
2. "Hot Start" technology:

Maximize subreads
3. MagBead loading:

Load longest fragments

## PacBio Long Read Rice Sequencing



## Preliminary Rice Assemblies



| Assembly | Contig N50 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Illumina Fragments $50 \times 2 \times 100 \mathrm{bp}$ @ 180 | 3,925 |
| MiSeq Fragments <br> 23x 459bp <br> $8 \times 2 \times 25 \mathrm{Ibp} @ 450$ | 6,444 |
| PBeCR Reads <br> $6.3 \times 2146 \mathrm{bp}$ ** MiSeq for correction | 13,600 |
| Illumina Mates <br> 50x 2x100bp @ 180 <br> 36x 2x50bp @ 2100 <br> $51 \times 2 \times 50$ bp @ 4800 | 13,696 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PBeCR + Illumina Shred } \\ & 6.3 \times 2146 \mathrm{bp} * * \text { MiSeq for correction } \\ & 51 \times 2 \times 50 \mathrm{bp} @ 4800 \end{aligned}$ | 25,108 |

In collaboration with McCombie \& Ware labs @ CSHL

- Attempt to answer the question: "What makes a good assembly?"
- Organizers provided simulated sequence data
- Simulated I00 base pair Illumina reads from simulated diploid organism
- 4I submissions from 17 groups
- Results demonstrate trade-offs assemblers must make


## Assembly Results

## Scaffolds



## Scaffold Paths



## Contig Paths

BGI
Broad


CSHL



## Final Rankings

| ID | Overall | CPNG50 | SPNG50 | Struct． | CC50 | Subs． | Copy． Num． | Cov． Tot． | Cov． cos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BGI | 36 | E |  |  |  |  | ぶ | E | $\hat{z}$ |
| Broad | 37 | है | 今 | خ人 | 人 |  |  |  |  |
| WTSI－S | 46 |  | है | ふे | से | $\hat{\delta}$ |  |  |  |
| CSHL | 52 | ぶ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ふ |
| BCCGSC | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  | E | そ |
| DOEJGI | 56 |  | そ | ¢ | 號 | そ |  |  |  |
| RHUL | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WTSI－P | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ふ人 |  |
| EBI | 64 |  |  |  |  |  | む |  |  |
| CRACS | 64 |  |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |

－SOAPdenovo and ALLPATHS came out neck－and－neck followed closely behind by SGA，Celera Assembler，ABySS
－My recommendation for＂typical＂short read assembly is to use ALLPATHS

## Assembly Summary

Assembly quality depends on
I. Coverage: low coverage is mathematically hopeless
2. Repeat composition: high repeat content is challenging
3. Read length: longer reads help resolve repeats
4. Error rate: errors reduce coverage, obscure true overlaps

- Assembly is a hierarchical, starting from individual reads, build high confidence contigs/unitigs, incorporate the mates to build scaffolds
- Extensive error correction is the key to getting the best assembly possible from a given data set
- Watch out for collapsed repeats \& other misassemblies
- Globally/Locally reassemble data from scratch with better parameters \& stitch the 2 assemblies together


## Break




# Whole Genome Alignment with MUMmer 

Slides Courtesy of Adam M. Phillippy<br>amp@umics.umd.edu

## Goal of WGA

- For two genomes, $A$ and $B$, find a mapping from each position in $A$ to its corresponding position in $B$



## Not so fast...

- Genome A may have insertions, deletions, translocations, inversions, duplications or SNPs with respect to $B$ (sometimes all of the above)



## WGA visualization

- How can we visualize whole genome alignments?
- With an alignment dot plot
$-N \times M$ matrix
- Let $i=$ position in genome $A$
- Let $j=$ position in genome $B$
- Fill cell ( $(i, j)$ if $A_{i}$ shows similarity to $B_{j}$

- A perfect alignment between $A$ and $B$ would completely fill the positive diagonal



## SV Types



- Different structural variation types / misassemblies will be apparent by their pattern of breakpoints
- Most breakpoints will be at or near repeats
- Things quickly get complicated in real genomes


## Seed-and-extend with MUMmer

## How can quickly align two genomes?

I. Find maximal-unique-matches (MUMs)

- Match: exact match of a minimum length
- Maximal: cannot be extended in either direction without a mismatch
- Unique
- occurs only once in both sequences (MUM)
- occurs only once in a single sequence (MAM)
- occurs one or more times in either sequence (MEM)

2. Cluster MUMs

- using size, gap and distance parameters

3. Extend clusters

- using modified Smith-Waterman algorithm


## Fee Fi Fo Fum, is it a MAM, MEM or MUM?

MUM : maximal unique match
MAM : maximal almost-unique match $\quad$ - - - - - - - - - - - MEM : maximal exact match


## Seed and Extend visualization

## FIND all MUMs

CLUSTER consistent MUMs
EXTEND alignments


## WGA example with nucmer

- Yersina pestis CO92 vs. Yersina pestis KIM
- High nucleotide similarity, 99.86\%
- Two strains of the same species
- Extensive genome shuffling
- Global alignment will not work
- Highly repetitive
- Many local alignments


## WGA Alignment

```
nucmer -maxmatch CO92.fasta KIM.fasta
-maxmatch Find maximal exact matches (MEMs)
delta-filter -m out.delta > out.filter.m
-m Many-to-many mapping
show-coords -r out.delta.m > out.coords
-r Sort alignments by reference position
dnadiff out.delta.m
Construct catalog of sequence variations
mummerplot --large --layout out.delta.m
--large Large plot
--layout Nice layout for multi-fasta files
--x11 Default, draw using x11 (--postscript, --png)
*requires gnuplot
```

$x^{x}$

## References

- Documentation
- http://mummer.sourceforge.net
» publication listing
- http://mummer.sourceforge.net/manual
» documentation
- http://mummer.sourceforge.net/examples
» walkthroughs
- Email
- mummer-help@lists.sourceforge.net
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# Thank You! <br> http://schatzlab.cshl.edu/ 



